Math 504 (Fall 2010) - Lecture 12

Householder Triangularization and Least Squares Problem

Emre Mengi Department of Mathematics Koç University

emengi@ku.edu.tr

Outline

QR factorization by Householder reflectors - Lecture 10

- Algorithm
- Operation count
- Least squares Lecture 11
 - Problem definition
 - Numerical solution by QR factorization
 - Normal equations

Step k of the algorithm: (k = 1, ..., n - 1)



Step k of the algorithm: (k = 1, ..., n - 1)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{bmatrix} R & B \\ 0 & A^{(k)} \end{bmatrix} & \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} R & B \\ 0 & \hat{Q}_k A^{(k)} \end{bmatrix} \\ \underbrace{Q_{k-1} \dots Q_1 A} & \underbrace{Q_k Q_{k-1} \dots Q_1 A} \end{array}$$
$$\\ \begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & Q_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_{k-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{Q}_k \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}, R \in \mathbb{C}^{(k-1) \times (k-1)} \text{ is upper triangular} \end{array}$$

Step k of the algorithm: (k = 1, ..., n - 1)

$$\begin{bmatrix} R & B \\ 0 & A^{(k)} \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} R & B \\ 0 & \hat{Q}_k A^{(k)} \end{bmatrix}}_{Q_{k-1} \dots Q_1 A}$$

• $Q_k = \begin{bmatrix} I_{k-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{Q}_k \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, $R \in \mathbb{C}^{(k-1) \times (k-1)}$ is upper triangular • $\hat{Q}_k \in \mathbb{C}^{(m-k+1) \times (m-k+1)}$ is the HH reflector assoc with $a_1^{(k)}$ so that

$$A^{(k)} = \begin{bmatrix} x & x & x & \dots & x \\ x & x & x & \dots & x \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ x & x & x & \dots & x \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \hat{Q}_k A^{(k)} = \begin{bmatrix} x & x & x & \dots & x \\ 0 & x & x & \dots & x \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & x & x & \dots & x \end{bmatrix}$$

Algorithm

Input: $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ with $m \ge n$

Output: Upper triangular $R \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ and the HH vectors $u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}^m$. The unitary factor $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ can be formed from the HH vectors so that A = QR is a full QR factorization.

for k = 1, n do $v \leftarrow A_{k:m,k}$ $u_k \leftarrow v - ||v||e_1$ $u_k \leftarrow u_k/||u_k||$ $A_{k:m,k:n} \leftarrow A_{k:m,k:n} - 2u_k(u_k^*A_{k:m,k:n})$ end for

 $R \leftarrow A$

Return R

The unitary factor Q such that A = QR can be recovered from the HH vectors u_k .

The unitary factor Q such that A = QR can be recovered from the HH vectors u_k .

$$Q_n \cdots Q_1 A = R$$
 where $Q_k = \begin{bmatrix} I_{k-1} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{m-k+1} - 2u_k u_k^* \end{bmatrix}$

The unitary factor Q such that A = QR can be recovered from the HH vectors u_k .

$$Q_n \cdots Q_1 A = R$$
 where $Q_k = \begin{bmatrix} I_{k-1} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{m-k+1} - 2u_k u_k^* \end{bmatrix}$

equivalently

$$A = Q_1^* Q_2^* \cdots Q_n^* R = \underbrace{Q_1 Q_2 \cdots Q_n}_Q R.$$

A very common use of the QR factorization is the numerical solution of the least squares problem.

- A very common use of the QR factorization is the numerical solution of the least squares problem.
- For the least squares problem Q does not need to be formed explicitly. Given $b \in \mathbb{C}^m$. We will need the product Q^*b , which can be computed by means of the vectors u_k , since

$$Q_k^* b = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} I_{k-1} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{m-k+1} - 2u_k u_k^* \end{bmatrix}}_{Q_k^* = Q_k} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \hat{b} \in \mathbb{C}^{k-1} \\ \tilde{b} \in \mathbb{C}^{m-k+1} \end{bmatrix}}_{b} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{b} \\ \tilde{b} - 2u_k (u_k^* \tilde{b}) \end{bmatrix}$$

<u>Remarks</u>

The algorithm based on HH reflectors shows the existence of a QR factorization.

Theorem (Existence of a QR factorization)

<u>Remarks</u>

The algorithm based on HH reflectors shows the existence of a QR factorization.

Theorem (Existence of a QR factorization)

Every matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ with $m \ge n$ has a QR factorization.

Pay attention to the order of operation to perform $2u_k u_k^* A_{k:m,k:n}$.

<u>Remarks</u>

The algorithm based on HH reflectors shows the existence of a QR factorization.

Theorem (Existence of a QR factorization)

- Pay attention to the order of operation to perform $2u_k u_k^* A_{k:m,k:n}$.
 - Inefficient way: $2(u_k u_k^*)A_{k:m,k:n}$

<u>Remarks</u>

The algorithm based on HH reflectors shows the existence of a QR factorization.

Theorem (Existence of a QR factorization)

- Pay attention to the order of operation to perform $2u_k u_k^* A_{k:m,k:n}$.
 - Inefficient way: $2(u_k u_k^*) A_{k:m,k:n}$ #*FLOPS* = $2(m - k + 1)^2 \times (n - k + 1) + O(mn) + O(n^2)$

<u>Remarks</u>

The algorithm based on HH reflectors shows the existence of a QR factorization.

Theorem (Existence of a QR factorization)

- Pay attention to the order of operation to perform $2u_k u_k^* A_{k:m,k:n}$.
 - Inefficient way: $2(u_k u_k^*) A_{k:m,k:n}$ #*FLOPS* = $2(m - k + 1)^2 \times (n - k + 1) + O(mn) + O(n^2)$
 - Efficient way: $2u_k(u_k^*A_{k:m,k:n})$

<u>Remarks</u>

The algorithm based on HH reflectors shows the existence of a QR factorization.

Theorem (Existence of a QR factorization)

- Pay attention to the order of operation to perform $2u_k u_k^* A_{k:m,k:n}$.
 - Inefficient way: $2(u_k u_k^*) A_{k:m,k:n}$ #*FLOPS* = $2(m - k + 1)^2 \times (n - k + 1) + O(mn) + O(n^2)$

• Efficient way:
$$2u_k(u_k^*A_{k:m,k:n})$$

$FLOPS = 3(m-k+1) \times (n-k+1) + O(n)$

for k = 1, n do $v \leftarrow A_{k:m,k}$ $u_k \leftarrow v - ||v||e_1$ $u_k \leftarrow u_k/||u_k||$ $A_{k:m,k:n} \leftarrow A_{k:m,k:n} - 2u_k(u_k^*A_{k:m,k:n})$ end for $R \leftarrow A$

Return R

Lecture 12 - p.8/20

for
$$k = 1, n$$
 do
 $v \leftarrow A_{k:m,k}$
 $\underbrace{u_k \leftarrow v - ||v||e_1}_{O(m) \ flops}$
 $u_k \leftarrow u_k/||u_k||$
 $A_{k:m,k:n} \leftarrow A_{k:m,k:n} - 2u_k(u_k^*A_{k:m,k:n})$
end for

 $R \leftarrow A$

for la

Return R

for
$$k = 1, n$$
 do
 $v \leftarrow A_{k:m,k}$
 $\underbrace{u_k \leftarrow v - \|v\|e_1}_{O(m) \ flops}$
 $\underbrace{u_k \leftarrow u_k / \|u_k\|}_{O(m) \ flops}$
 $A_{k:m,k:n} \leftarrow A_{k:m,k:n} - 2u_k(u_k^*A_{k:m,k:n})$

end for

 $R \leftarrow A$ Return R

for
$$k = 1, n$$
 do
 $v \leftarrow A_{k:m,k}$
 $\underbrace{u_k \leftarrow v - ||v||e_1}_{O(m) \ flops}$
 $\underbrace{u_k \leftarrow u_k/||u_k||}_{O(m) \ flops}$
 $\underbrace{A_{k:m,k:n} \leftarrow A_{k:m,k:n} - 2u_k(u_k^*A_{k:m,k:n})}_{4(m-k+1)\times(n-k+1)+O(n) \ flops}$
end for
 $R \leftarrow A$
Return R

Total # FLOPS =
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (4(m-k+1)(n-k+1) + O(m) + O(n))$$

= $4(mn^2 - m\frac{n(n+1)}{2} - n\frac{n(n+1)}{2} + \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6})$
 $+O(mn) + O(n^2)$
= $2mn^2 - \frac{2n^3}{3} + O(mn) + O(n^2)$

Total # FLOPS =
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (4(m-k+1)(n-k+1) + O(m) + O(n))$$

= $4(mn^2 - m\frac{n(n+1)}{2} - n\frac{n(n+1)}{2} + \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6})$
 $+O(mn) + O(n^2)$
= $2mn^2 - \frac{2n^3}{3} + O(mn) + O(n^2)$

(Recall that Gram-Schmidt requires $2mn^2$ flops.)

Total # FLOPS =
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (4(m-k+1)(n-k+1) + O(m) + O(n))$$

= $4(mn^2 - m\frac{n(n+1)}{2} - n\frac{n(n+1)}{2} + \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6})$
 $+O(mn) + O(n^2)$
= $2mn^2 - \frac{2n^3}{3} + O(mn) + O(n^2)$

(Recall that Gram-Schmidt requires $2mn^2$ flops.)

If A is square (m = n)

Total # FLOPS =
$$\frac{4n^3}{3} + O(n^2)$$

Total # FLOPS =
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (4(m-k+1)(n-k+1) + O(m) + O(n))$$

= $4(mn^2 - m\frac{n(n+1)}{2} - n\frac{n(n+1)}{2} + \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6})$
 $+O(mn) + O(n^2)$
= $2mn^2 - \frac{2n^3}{3} + O(mn) + O(n^2)$

(Recall that Gram-Schmidt requires $2mn^2$ flops.)

If A is square
$$(m = n)$$

.

Total # FLOPS =
$$\frac{4n^3}{3} + O(n^2)$$

(Gram-Schmidt would require $2n^3 + O(n^2)$ flops.)

Given
$$p_1 = (t_1, y_1) = (-2, -1), p_2 = (t_2, y_2) = (3, 1), p_3 = (t_3, y_3) = (4, 3).$$

Given
$$p_1 = (t_1, y_1) = (-2, -1), p_2 = (t_2, y_2) = (3, 1), p_3 = (t_3, y_3) = (4, 3).$$

Find the line $\ell(t) = x_1t + x_0$ that best fits the points p_1, p_2, p_3 . (The unknowns are x_0, x_1 .)

Find the line
$$\ell(t) = x_1t + x_0$$
 so that

$$\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{3} (\ell(t_i) - y_i)^2} = \sqrt{(-2x_1 + x_0 - (-1))^2 + (3x_1 + x_0 - 1)^2 + (4x_1 + x_0 - 3)^2}$$

is small as possible.

Find the line
$$\ell(t) = x_1t + x_0$$
 so that

$$\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{3} (\ell(t_i) - y_i)^2} = \sqrt{(-2x_1 + x_0 - (-1))^2 + (3x_1 + x_0 - 1)^2 + (4x_1 + x_0 - 3)^2}$$

is small as possible.



Find the line
$$\ell(t) = x_1t + x_0$$
 so that

$$\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{3} (\ell(t_i) - y_i)^2} = \sqrt{(-2x_1 + x_0 - (-1))^2 + (3x_1 + x_0 - 1)^2 + (4x_1 + x_0 - 3)^2}$$

is small as possible.

• Define

$$r = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \ell(t_1) - y_1 \\ \ell(t_2) - y_2 \\ \ell(t_3) - y_3 \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}}_A \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix}}_x - \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}}_b$$

find
$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 such that $||r||_2 = ||Ax - b||_2$ is as small as possible.

More generally given m points in \mathbf{R}^2

$$p_i = (t_i, y_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

 \checkmark More generally given m points in \mathbf{R}^2

$$p_i = (t_i, y_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

Suppose you want to find the polynomial of degree n - 1 (n < m) in the form

$$P(t) = x_{n-1}t^{n-1} + x_{n-2}t^{n-2} + \dots + x_1t + x_0$$

Solution More generally given m points in \mathbf{R}^2

$$p_i = (t_i, y_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

Suppose you want to find the polynomial of degree n - 1 (n < m) in the form

$$P(t) = x_{n-1}t^{n-1} + x_{n-2}t^{n-2} + \dots + x_1t + x_0$$

minimizing

$$\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (p(t_i) - y_i)^2}.$$





<u>Remark:</u> The matrix A above is called the Vandermonde matrix.



<u>Remark:</u> The matrix A above is called the Vandermonde matrix.

• We want to find
$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & \cdots & x_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}^T$$
 minimizing $\|r\|_2 = \|Ax - b\|_2.$

<u>Definition</u>: An $m \times n$ system Ax = b is called *overdetermined* if m > n.

<u>Definition</u>: An $m \times n$ system Ax = b is called *overdetermined* if m > n.

Overdetermined systems are usually inconsistent. (*e.g.* It is unlikely that three lines in R² intersect each other at a common point.)

<u>Definition</u>: An $m \times n$ system Ax = b is called *overdetermined* if m > n.

Overdetermined systems are usually inconsistent. (*e.g.* It is unlikely that three lines in R² intersect each other at a common point.)
Example:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A \mid b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & -1 \\ 0 & 5 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 3/5 \end{bmatrix}}_{inconsistent}$$

Justification:

 $\operatorname{range}(A) = \operatorname{span}\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ is at most an *n*-dimen subspace in \mathbb{C}^m

Justification:

 $\operatorname{range}(A) = \operatorname{span}\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ is at most an *n*-dimen subspace in \mathbb{C}^m

 \Longrightarrow

Most $b \in \mathbb{C}^m$ are not in range(A)

Justification:

range $(A) = \text{span}\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ is at most an *n*-dimen subspace in \mathbb{C}^m \Longrightarrow Ax = b is inconsistent for most $b \in \mathbb{C}^m$

Justification:

range $(A) = \operatorname{span}\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ is at most an *n*-dimen subspace in \mathbb{C}^m \Longrightarrow Ax = b is inconsistent for most $b \in \mathbb{C}^m$



Least Squares Problem: Given an overdetermined system Ax = b. Find $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $||Ax - b||_2$ is as small as possible.

Least Squares Problem: Given an overdetermined system Ax = b.

Find $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $||Ax - b||_2$ is as small as possible.

Geometric interpretation: Find the point on the hyperplane range(A) that is closest to b.



A motivating example

٩	US population	as a function	of time
---	----------------------	---------------	---------

t	y (population)
1900	75.995
1910	91.972
1920	105.711
1930	123.203
1940	131.669
1950	150.697
1960	179.323
1970	203.212
1980	226.505
1990	249.633
2000	281.422

A motivating example

	US population a	s a function	of time
--	-----------------	--------------	---------

t	y (population)
1900	75.995
1910	91.972
1920	105.711
1930	123.203
1940	131.669
1950	150.697
1960	179.323
1970	203.212
1980	226.505
1990	249.633
2000	281.422



Fit a cubic model $y \approx p(t) = x_3t^3 + x_2t^2 + x_1t + x_0$ approximating the US population by solving the least squares problem. Use it to estimate the US population in 2020.

Need to find
$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \end{bmatrix}^T \in \mathbb{R}^4$$
 minimizing

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1900 & 1900^2 & 1900^3 \\ 1 & 1910 & 1910^2 & 1910^3 \\ 1 & 1920 & 1920^2 & 1920^3 \\ 1 & 1930 & 1930^2 & 1930^3 \\ 1 & 1940 & 1940^2 & 1940^3 \\ 1 & 1950 & 1950^2 & 1950^3 \\ 1 & 1960 & 1960^2 & 1960^3 \\ 1 & 1970 & 1970^2 & 1970^3 \\ 1 & 1980 & 1980^2 & 1980^3 \\ 1 & 1990 & 1990^2 & 1990^3 \\ 1 & 1900 & 2000^2 & 2000^3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ &$$

The optimal cubic polynomial solving the least squares problem

 $p(t) = 56.0821 \left(\frac{t - 1950}{50}\right)^3 + 127.3056 \left(\frac{t - 1950}{50}\right)^2 - 80.6311 \left(\frac{t - 1950}{50}\right) + 165.3947$

The optimal cubic polynomial solving the least squares problem

 $p(t) = 56.0821 \left(\frac{t - 1950}{50}\right)^3 + 127.3056 \left(\frac{t - 1950}{50}\right)^2 - 80.6311 \left(\frac{t - 1950}{50}\right) + 165.3947$



Black squares - given pairs of (year, population) data; Blue curve - optimal cubic polynomial

Next Lecture

Conditioning and condition numbers - Lecture 12