

SOLUTIONS TO MIDTERM I

Q1

(a) Newton update rule

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} &= x_k - \frac{g(x_k)}{g'(x_k)} \\ &= x_k - \frac{(a - 1/x_k)}{(1/x_k^2)} \\ &= x_k - (ax_k^2 - x_k) \\ &= 2x_k - ax_k^2 \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x_{k+1} - 1/a|}{|x_k - 1/a|^2} &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|2x_k - ax_k^2 - 1/a|}{|x_k - 1/a|^2} \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|a| |x_k - 1/a|^2}{|x_k - 1/a|^2} \\ &= |a| \end{aligned}$$

so the rate of convergence is at least quadratic.

On the other hand

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x_{k+1} - 1/a|}{|x_k - 1/a|^3} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|a|}{|x_k - 1/a|} = \infty$$

so the rate of convergence is not cubic.

Q2

A_1 is positive definite

$$x^T A_1 x = 2x_1^2 + x_2^2 > 0$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ for all } x \neq 0.$$

Recall also for any invertible X and positive definite A

$$X A X^T > 0$$

Consequently

$$A_1 = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -3 \end{bmatrix}}_X \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_A \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix}}_{X^T} > 0$$

since $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$ is invertible.

(2)

Cholesky factorization of A_1 ,

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ \sqrt{2} & 3 \end{bmatrix}}_{R^T} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}}_R$$

A_2 is not positive definite

This is due to the fact that diagonal elements of A_2 are negative. For instance

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} -3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & -3 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix}}_{A_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = -3 < 0$$

Q3

$$(a) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\substack{\Gamma_2 := \Gamma_2 - \Gamma_1 \\ \Gamma_3 := \Gamma_3 - \Gamma_1}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\Gamma_3 := \Gamma_3 - \Gamma_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

LU Factorization

$$A = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_L \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_U$$

$$(b) Ax = b \iff LU \underset{x}{x} = b$$

Forward Stage

Solve $L\hat{x} = b$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}_1 \\ \hat{x}_2 \\ \hat{x}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\implies \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}_1 \\ \hat{x}_2 \\ \hat{x}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Backward Stage

Solve $Ux = \hat{x}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Q4

① R is invertible $\implies A \succ 0$

Let $x \neq 0$, and assume R is invertible.

$$x^T A x = x^T R^T R x$$

$$= y^T y \quad (\text{where } y = Rx \neq 0 \\ \text{since } R \text{ is invertible})$$

$$\cancel{=} \|y\|^2 > 0$$

Consequently $A \succ 0$.

② $A \succ 0 \implies R$ is invertible
equivalently

R is not invertible $\implies A \not\succ 0$

⑤

Since R is not invertible,
there exists an $x \neq 0$ such that

$$Rx = 0.$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}x^T Ax &= x^T R^T R x \\ &= \cancel{x^T} (Rx)^T (Rx) \\ &= 0^T 0 = 0\end{aligned}$$

so $A \neq 0$.

Q5

There exist a δ such that

$$|g'(x)| < 1$$

for all $x \in [p-\delta, p+\delta]$ due to
continuity of g' .

For any $x \in [p-\delta, p+\delta]$

$$\begin{aligned}|g(x) - g(p)| &= |g'(\tilde{x})| |x-p| \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{By} \\ \text{mean value} \\ \text{thm} \end{array} \right) \\ &< |x-p| < \delta\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{x} \in (p-\delta, p+\delta)$. This means that ⑥

$$|g(x) - g(p)| = |g(x) - p| < \delta$$

$$\implies p - \delta < g(x) < p + \delta.$$

We deduced that

$$(i) |g'(x)| < 1 \text{ for all } x \in [p - \delta, p + \delta], \text{ and}$$

$$(ii) g(x) \in [p - \delta, p + \delta] \text{ for all } x \in [p - \delta, p + \delta].$$

As proven in class these two conditions guarantee the existence of a unique fixed point in $[p - \delta, p + \delta]$. Furthermore, provided $p_0 \in [p - \delta, p + \delta]$, these two conditions guarantee that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p_k = p.$$