

# Global Solution of Coupled Kuramoto–Sivashinsky and Ginzburg–Landau Equations

Varga K. Kalantarov

*To Olga Aleksandrovna Ladyzhenskaya  
on occasion of her 80th birthday*

## Introduction

We study the initial boundary-value problems for the coupled Kuramoto–Sivashinsky and Ginzburg–Landau (KSGL) equations arising in modelling of the Marangoni convection. We begin with the problem

$$\partial_t A - \mu A - \partial_x^2 A + k|A|^2 A = Ah, \quad x \in (0, l), \quad t > 0, \quad (\text{A})$$

$$\partial_t h + m\partial_x^2 h + \nu\partial_x^4 h = \alpha\partial_x^2(|A|^2), \quad x \in (0, l), \quad t > 0, \quad (\text{H})$$

$$A(x, 0) = A_0(x), \quad h(x, 0) = h_0(x), \quad x \in (0, l),$$

$$A(0, t) = A(l, t) = \vec{0}, \quad t > 0,$$

$$h(0, t) = h(l, t) = \partial_x^2 h(0, t) = \partial_x^2 h(l, t) = 0, \quad t > 0,$$

where  $\vec{0}$  is the zero vector in  $R^N$ ,  $\mu, k, m, \nu$  and  $\alpha$  are given numbers,  $A(x, t) = (A_1(x, t), \dots, A_N(x, t))$  is the unknown vector-valued function,  $h(x, t)$  is the unknown scalar function,  $A_0(x)$  and  $h_0(x)$  are given functions.

In the case where  $A$  is a complex-valued function, i.e.,  $N = 2$ , the system (A), (H) was derived in [1] as a simplified model of the surface tension–driven

Marangoni convection. A numerical simulation of the KSGE-equations with periodic boundary conditions was given in [2]. The global unique solvability of the periodic initial boundary-value problem in the case  $\mu = \nu = m = 1$  was studied in [3]–[5]. In particular, the global existence and uniqueness of a regular solution of the periodic initial boundary-value problem was established in [3] under the condition  $0 < \alpha < 2$ . As was shown in [4], the problem has a unique solution if  $\alpha < 0$ . For  $\alpha > 0$  the global existence and uniqueness of a weak solution was proved in [5].

In this paper, we establish the global unique solvability of the Dirichlet and periodic initial boundary-value problem for the KSGE-equations without the above restrictions, i.e., for all real  $m, \mu, \alpha$  and for all  $\nu > 0, k > 0$ . In the case of Dirichlet boundary conditions, we show that if  $\alpha \geq 0, \nu\lambda_1 > m$  or  $\alpha < 0, \nu\lambda_1 - m + \alpha/k > 0$  (hereinafter,  $\lambda_1$  is the first eigenvalue of the problem  $-\psi'' = \lambda\psi$  for  $x \in (0, l), \psi(0) = \psi(l) = 0$ ), then the semigroup generated by this initial boundary-value problem has a minimal global attractor in the phase space  $L_2(0, l) \times H^{-1}(0, l)$ .

Introduce the notation. For

$$f(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_N(x)), \quad g(x) = (g_1(x), \dots, g_N(x))$$

we set

$$\|f\| \equiv \left( \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}, \quad (f, g) \equiv \int_{\Omega} \langle f(x), g(x) \rangle dx,$$

where  $\langle f, g \rangle = f_1g_1 + f_2g_2 + \dots + f_Ng_N$  and  $|f|^2 = \langle f, f \rangle$ . For real-valued functions  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  we set

$$\|f\| \equiv \left( \int_0^l f^2(x) dx \right)^{1/2}, \quad (f, g) \equiv \int_{\Omega} f(x)g(x) dx.$$

To simplify the notation, we also denote by  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  the duality pairing between elements of  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  and elements of  $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ .

We often use the Cauchy inequality

$$|ab| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} a^2 + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} b^2, \quad a, b \in R, \quad \varepsilon > 0,$$

the Poincaré–Friedrichs inequality

$$\|f\|_{L_2(0, l)}^2 \leq \lambda_1 \|f'\|^2, \quad \lambda_1 = \frac{l^2}{\pi^2},$$

and the following well-known inequalities:

$$\|f\|_{L_{\infty}(0, l)}^2 \leq l \|f'\|^2, \tag{I_0}$$

$$\|f\|_{L_{\infty}(0, l)}^2 \leq 2 \|f\| \|f'\| \tag{I_1}$$

for functions in  $H_0^1(0, l)$ .

## 1. Existence and Uniqueness

Let  $P^2$  be the inverse of the operator  $L = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$  with the domain  $D(L) = H^2(0, l) \cap H_0^1(0, l)$ . Applying the operator  $P^2$  to both sides of Eq. (H), we arrive at the problem

$$\partial_t A - \mu A - \partial_x^2 A + k|A|^2 A = Ah, \quad x \in (0, l), \quad t > 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$P^2 \partial_t h - mh - \nu \partial_x^2 h = -\alpha |A|^2, \quad x \in (0, l), \quad t > 0, \quad (1.2)$$

$$A(x, 0) = A_0(x), \quad h(x, 0) = h_0(x), \quad x \in (0, l), \quad (1.3)$$

$$A(0, t) = A(l, t) = \vec{0}, \quad h(0, t) = h(l, t) = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad (1.4)$$

Multiplying Eq. (1.1) by  $A$  and Eq. (1.2) by  $h$ , we obtain the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|A(t)\|^2 - \mu \|A(t)\|^2 + \|\partial_x A(t)\|^2 + k \int_0^l |A(x, t)|^4 dx \\ = \int_0^l |A(x, t)|^2 h(x, t) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (1.5_1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|Ph(t)\|^2 - m \|h(t)\|^2 + \nu \|\partial_x h(t)\|^2 \\ = -\alpha \int_0^l |A(x, t)|^2 h(x, t) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (1.5_2)$$

Adding (1.5<sub>1</sub>) and (1.5<sub>2</sub>), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} [\|A(t)\|^2 + \|Ph(t)\|^2] + \|\partial_x A(t)\|^2 + k \int_0^l |A(x, t)|^4 dx + \nu \|\partial_x h(t)\|^2 \\ - m \|h(t)\|^2 = \mu \|A(t)\|^2 + (1 - \alpha) \int_0^l |A(x, t)|^2 h(x, t) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

Using the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \|A(t)\|^2 &\leq \frac{k}{4} \int_0^l |A(x, t)|^4 dx + \frac{l\mu^2}{k}, \\ |(1 - \alpha) \int_0^l |A(x, t)|^2 h(x, t) dx| &\leq \frac{k}{4} \int_0^l |A(x, t)|^4 dx + \frac{(1 - \alpha)^2}{k} \int_0^l h(x, t)^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

in (1.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} [\|A(t)\|^2 + \|Ph(t)\|^2] + \|\partial_x A(t)\|^2 + \frac{k}{2} \int_0^l |A(x,t)|^4 dx + \nu \|\partial_x h(t)\|^2 \\ & \leq [m + (1 - \alpha)^2/k] \|h(t)\|^2 + \frac{l\mu^2}{k}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

By the Schwarz inequality and Cauchy inequality, we have

$$\|h\|^2 = (P^{-1}h, Ph) \leq \|P^{-1}h\| \|Ph\| \leq \varepsilon \|\partial_x h\|^2 + C_1(\varepsilon) \|Ph\|^2. \quad (I_2)$$

Using the last inequality with  $\varepsilon = \nu/[2m + 2(1 - \alpha)^2/k]$  in (1.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} [\|A(t)\|^2 + \|Ph(t)\|^2] + \|\partial_x A(t)\|^2 + \frac{k}{2} \int_0^l |A(x,t)|^4 dx \\ & + \frac{\nu}{2} \|\partial_x h(t)\|^2 \leq \frac{l\mu^2}{k} + \tilde{C}_1(\nu) \|Ph(t)\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

From (1.8) it follows that

$$\|A(t)\|^2 + \|Ph(t)\|^2 \leq D_1(t) \quad \forall t \in R^+, \quad (1.9)$$

where

$$D_1(t) = \left[ \|A_0\|^2 + \|Ph_0\|^2 + t \frac{2}{k} l\mu^2 \right] e^{2\tilde{C}_1(\nu)t}$$

and

$$\int_0^t \|\partial_x A(s)\|^2 ds, \int_0^t \|\partial_x h(s)\|^2 ds, \int_0^l \int_0^t |A(x,s)|^4 ds \leq C(t) \quad \forall t \in R^+. \quad (1.10)$$

Hereinafter,  $C(t)$  is a positive continuous function on  $[0, \infty)$ .

On the basis of the estimates (1.9) and (1.10), we can use the standard Faedo–Galyorkin method to prove the existence of a global weak solution  $[A, h]$  of the problem (1.1)–(1.4) such that

$$A \in C(0, T; L_2(0, l)) \cap L_2(0, T; H_0^1(0, l)), \quad (1.11)$$

$$h \in C(0, T; H^{-1}(0, l)) \cap L_2(0, T; H_0^1(0, l)). \quad (1.12)$$

Let us show that the problem (1.1)–(1.4) has at most one solution.

Let  $[A, h]$  be a weak solution of the problem (1.1)–(1.4) with the initial data  $[A_0, h_0]$ , and let  $[\tilde{A}, \tilde{h}]$  be a weak solution of the same problem but with the initial data  $[\tilde{A}_0, \tilde{h}_0]$ . Then  $[a, H] = [A - \tilde{A}, h - \tilde{h}]$  is a solution of the problem

$$\partial_t a - \mu a - \partial_x^2 a + k|A|^2 A - k|\tilde{A}|^2 \tilde{A} = Ah - \tilde{A}\tilde{h}, \quad x \in (0, l), \quad t > 0, \quad (1.13)$$

$$P^2 \partial_t H - mH - \nu \partial_x^2 H = -\alpha|A|^2 + \alpha|\tilde{A}|^2, \quad x \in (0, l), \quad t > 0, \quad (1.14)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a(x, 0) &= A_0(x) - \tilde{A}_0(x), \quad H(x, 0) = h_0(x) - \tilde{h}_0(x), \quad x \in (0, l), \\ a(0, t) &= a(l, t) = \vec{0}, \quad H(0, t) = H(l, t) = 0, \quad x \in (0, l), \quad t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$Ah - \tilde{A}\tilde{h} = Ah - \tilde{A}h + \tilde{A}h - \tilde{A}\tilde{h} = ah + \tilde{A}H$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -\alpha|A|^2 + \alpha|\tilde{A}|^2 &= -\alpha(\langle A, A \rangle - \langle \tilde{A}, A \rangle + \langle \tilde{A}, A \rangle - \langle \tilde{A}, \tilde{A} \rangle) \\ &= -\alpha\langle a, A \rangle - \alpha\langle \tilde{A}, a \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

it follows from (1.13) and (1.14) that  $[a, H]$  satisfies the system

$$\partial_t a - \mu a - \partial_x^2 a + k|A|^2 A - k|\tilde{A}|^2 \tilde{A} = ah + \tilde{A}H, \quad (1.15)$$

$$P^2 \partial_t H - mH - \nu \partial_x^2 H = -\alpha\langle \tilde{A}, a \rangle - \alpha\langle a, A \rangle. \quad (1.16)$$

Multiplying (1.15) by  $a$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|a(t)\|^2 - \mu \|a(t)\|^2 + \|\partial_x a(t)\|^2 \\ &+ k \int_0^l \langle |A(x, t)|^2 A(x, t) - |\tilde{A}(x, t)|^2 \tilde{A}(x, t), A(x, t) - \tilde{A}(x, t) \rangle dx \\ &= \int_0^l |a(x, t)|^2 h(x, t) dx + \int_0^l \langle \tilde{A}(x, t), a(x, t) \rangle H(x, t) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (1.17)$$

Using the inequality (I<sub>1</sub>), we can estimate the first integral on the right-hand side of (1.17) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^l |a(x, t)|^2 h(x, t) dx \right| &\leq \max_{x \in [0, l]} |a(x, t)|^2 \int_0^l |h(x, t)| dx \\ &\leq \sqrt{l} \max_{x \in [0, l]} |a(x, t)|^2 \|h(t)\| \leq 2\sqrt{l} \|a(t)\| \|\partial_x a(t)\| \|h(t)\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon_1 \|\partial_x a(t)\|^2 + \frac{l}{\varepsilon_1} \|a(t)\|^2 \|h(t)\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (1.18)$$

Using (I<sub>0</sub>) and (I<sub>2</sub>), we can estimate the second term on the right-hand side of (1.17) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_0^l \langle \tilde{A}(x, t), a(x, t) \rangle H(x, t) dx \right| &\leq \int_0^l |\tilde{A}(x, t)| |a(x, t)| |H(x, t)| dx \\
&\leq \max_{x \in [0, l]} |\tilde{A}(x, t)| \|a(t)\| \|H(t)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \max_{x \in [0, l]} |\tilde{A}(x, t)|^2 \|a(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|H(t)\|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{l}{2} \|\partial_x \tilde{A}(t)\|^2 \|a(t)\|^2 + \varepsilon_2 \|\partial_x H(t)\|^2 + C_1(\varepsilon_2) \|PH(t)\|^2. \tag{1.19}
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that the expression  $(|A(t)|^2 A(t) - |\tilde{A}(t)|^2 \tilde{A}(t), A(t) - \tilde{A}(t))$  is nonnegative and using (1.18) and (1.19), from (1.17) we deduce the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|a(t)\|^2 + (1 - \varepsilon_1) \|\partial_x a(t)\|^2 &\leq \mu \|a(t)\|^2 + \frac{l}{\varepsilon_1} \|h(t)\|^2 \|a(t)\|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{l}{2} \|\partial_x \tilde{A}(t)\|^2 \|a(t)\|^2 + \varepsilon_2 \|\partial_x H(t)\|^2 + C_1(\varepsilon_2) \|PH(t)\|^2. \tag{1.20}
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (1.16) by  $H$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|PH(t)\|^2 - m \|H(t)\|^2 + \nu \|\partial_x H(t)\|^2 \\
= -\alpha (\langle \tilde{A}(t), a(t) \rangle, H(t)) - \alpha (\langle a(t), A(t) \rangle, H(t)). \tag{1.21}
\end{aligned}$$

By (1.19), the right-hand side of (1.21) admits the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\alpha (\langle \tilde{A}(t), a(t) \rangle, H(t)) + (\langle a(t), A(t) \rangle, H(t))| &\leq \frac{|\alpha|l}{2} (\|\partial_x \tilde{A}(t)\|^2 \\
&\quad + \|\partial_x A(t)\|^2) \|a(t)\|^2 + 2|\alpha|\varepsilon_2 \|\partial_x H(t)\|^2 + 2|\alpha|C_1(\varepsilon_2) \|PH(t)\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the last inequality and (I<sub>2</sub>), from (1.21) we obtain the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|PH(t)\|^2 + (\nu - 2|\alpha|\varepsilon_2 - |m|\varepsilon_2) \|\partial_x H(t)\|^2 &\leq \frac{|\alpha|l}{2} (\|\partial_x \tilde{A}(t)\|^2 \\
&\quad + \|\partial_x A(t)\|^2) \|a(t)\|^2 + (2|\alpha| + |m|)C_1(\varepsilon_2) \|PH(t)\|^2. \tag{1.22}
\end{aligned}$$

Adding (1.20) with (1.22) and taking  $\varepsilon_1 = 1$ ,  $\varepsilon_2 = \nu(2|\alpha| + |m|)^{-1}$ , we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} [\|a(t)\|^2 + \|PH(t)\|^2] \leq N(t) [\|a(t)\|^2 + \|PH(t)\|^2], \tag{1.23}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
N(t) &= 2\mu + 2l\|h(t)\|^2 + l(|\alpha| + 1) \|\partial_x \tilde{A}(t)\|^2 + |\alpha|l \|\partial_x A(t)\|^2 \\
&\quad + (4|\alpha| + 4|m| + 2)C_1(\varepsilon_2).
\end{aligned}$$

By (1.9) and (1.10), we have  $N \in L_1(0, T)$  for each  $T > 0$ . From (1.23) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|A(t) - \tilde{A}(t)\|^2 + \|Ph(t) - P\tilde{h}(t)\|^2 \\ & \leq \exp\left(\int_0^t N(s)ds\right)(\|A_0 - \tilde{A}_0\|^2 + \|Ph_0 - P\tilde{h}_0\|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have proved the following assertion.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $A_0 \in L_2(0, l)$  and  $h_0 \in H^{-1}(0, l)$ , then the problem (1.1)–(1.4) has a unique weak solution*

$$\begin{aligned} A & \in C(0, T; L_2(0, l)) \cap L_2(0, T; H_0^1(0, l)), \\ h & \in C(0, T; H^{-1}(0, l)) \cap L_2(0, T; H^1(0, l)). \end{aligned}$$

*The solution continuously depends on the initial data.*

Thus, we have proved that the problem (1.1)–(1.4) generates a continuous semigroup  $V_t$ ,  $t \in R^+$ , in the phase space  $X_0 = L_2(0, l) \times H^{-1}(0, l)$ .

## 2. Minimal Global Attractor

Let us recall the following well-known theorem

**Theorem 2** ([6]). *If  $V_t : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $t \in R^+$ , is a continuous, compact and bounded dissipative semigroup, then it has a minimal global attractor  $M$  which is connected, compact, and invariant.*

We show that the semigroup  $V_t$  generated by the problem (1)–(4) is bounded and dissipative in the following two cases.

CASE 1.  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\gamma_0 = \nu\lambda_1 - m > 0$ .

CASE 2.  $\alpha < 0$  and  $\gamma_1 = \nu\lambda_1 - m + \alpha/k > 0$ .

We begin with the case  $\alpha > 0$ . Multiplying (1.5<sub>1</sub>) by  $\alpha$  and adding to (1.5<sub>2</sub>), we obtain the equality

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} [\alpha \|A(t)\|^2 + \|Ph(t)\|^2] + \alpha \|\partial_x A(t)\|^2 + \\ & + \alpha k \int_0^l |A(x, t)|^4 dx - m \|h(t)\|^2 + \nu \|\partial_x h(t)\|^2 = \alpha \mu \|A(t)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy inequality and the Poincaré–Friedrichs inequality, the last equality implies

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} [\alpha \|A(t)\|^2 + \|Ph(t)\|^2] + \lambda_1 \alpha \|A(t)\|^2 + \lambda_1 \gamma_0 \|Ph(t)\|^2 \leq \frac{\alpha l \mu^2}{4k}$$

or

$$\frac{d}{dt}y_\alpha(t) + d_1y_\alpha(t) \leq \frac{\alpha l\mu^2}{2k},$$

where

$$y_\alpha(t) = \alpha\|A(t)\|^2 + \|Ph(t)\|^2, \quad d_1 = 2\lambda_1 \min\{1, \gamma_0\}.$$

Integrating, we obtain the inequality

$$\alpha\|A(t)\|^2 + \|Ph(t)\|^2 \leq \frac{\alpha l\mu^2}{2kd_1} + [\alpha\|A_0\|^2 + \|Ph_0\|^2]e^{-d_1t}$$

which implies that the ball

$$B_0 = \{A, h\} \in X_0 : \|A\|^2 + \|Ph\|^2 \leq \frac{\alpha l\mu^2}{kd_1 \min\{1, \alpha\}}$$

is an absorbing ball of the semigroup  $V_t$ . Hence the semigroup  $V_t : X_0 \rightarrow X_0$  is bounded dissipative.

Consider the case where

$$\alpha = -\alpha_1 < 0, \quad \gamma_1 = \nu\lambda_1 - m - \frac{\alpha_1}{k} > 0.$$

We choose a positive number  $k_1$  such that

$$k_1 < k, \quad \gamma_2 = \nu\lambda_1 - m - \frac{\alpha_1}{k_1} > 0.$$

Multiplying (1.5<sub>1</sub>) by  $\alpha_1$  and adding to (1.5<sub>2</sub>), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} [\alpha_1 \|A(t)\|^2 + \|Ph(t)\|^2] + \alpha_1 \|\partial_x A(t)\|^2 - \alpha_1 \mu \|A(t)\|^2 \\ & + \alpha_1 k \int_0^l |A(x, t)|^4 dx + \nu \|\partial_x h(t)\|^2 - m \|h(t)\|^2 \\ & = 2\alpha_1 \int_0^l |A(x, t)|^2 h(x, t) dx \leq \alpha_1 k_1 \int_0^l |A(x, t)|^4 dx + \frac{\alpha_1}{k_1} \|h(t)\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

By the Poincaré–Friedrichs inequality and the inequality

$$\alpha_1 \mu \|A(t)\|^2 \leq \alpha_1 \frac{k - k_1}{2} \int_0^l |A(x, t)|^4 dx + \frac{\alpha_1 \mu^2 l}{2(k - k_1)},$$

from (2.1) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} [\alpha_1 \|A(t)\|^2 + \|Ph(t)\|^2] + \alpha_1 \|\partial_x A(t)\|^2 + \gamma_2 \|h(t)\|^2 \\ & + \alpha_1 \frac{k - k_1}{2} \int_0^l |A(x, t)|^4 dx \leq \frac{\alpha_1 \mu^2 l}{2(k - k_1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Thus,  $V_t$  is a bounded dissipative semigroup in  $X_0$  for  $\alpha < 0$  and  $\nu\lambda_1 - m > \frac{|\alpha|}{k}$ .

We show that the semigroup  $V_t$  is a compact semigroup for each real  $\alpha$  without additional restrictions on the parameters of the system.

Multiplying Eq. (1.1) by  $-t\partial_x^2 A$  and Eq. (1.2) by  $-t\partial_x^2 h$  and integrating over  $(0, l)$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (t\|\partial_x A(t)\|^2) + \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_x A(t)\|^2 - \mu t \|\partial_x A(t)\|^2 + t \|\partial_x^2 A(t)\|^2 \\ & + tk \int_0^l |A(x, t)|^2 |\partial_x A(x, t)|^2 dx + 2tk \int_0^l \langle A(x, t), \partial_x A(x, t) \rangle^2 dx \\ & = -t \int_0^l h(x, t) \langle A(x, t), \partial_x^2 A(x, t) \rangle dx, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (t\|h(t)\|^2) - \frac{1}{2} \|h(t)\|^2 - mt \|\partial_x h(t)\|^2 + \nu t \|\partial_x^2 h(t)\|^2 \\ & = \alpha t \int_0^l |A(x, t)|^2 \partial_x^2 h(x, t) dx \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and the inequality (I<sub>0</sub>), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| t \int_0^l h(x, t) \langle A(x, t), \partial_x^2 A(x, t) \rangle dx \right| \leq t \max_{x \in [0, l]} |h(x, t)| \|A(t)\| \|\partial_x^2 A(t)\| \\ & \leq t \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_x^2 A(t)\|^2 + t \frac{l}{2} \|A(t)\|^2 \|\partial_x h(t)\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Using (I<sub>1</sub>), we can estimate the right-hand side of (2.4) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| t\alpha \int_0^l |A(x, t)|^2 \partial_x^2 h(x, t) dx \right| \leq t|\alpha| \max_{x \in [0, l]} |A(x, t)|^2 \int_0^l |\partial_x^2 h(x, t)| dx \\ & \leq t \frac{2\alpha^2 l}{\nu} \|A(t)\|^2 \|\partial_x A(t)\|^2 + t \frac{\nu}{2} \|\partial_x^2 h(t)\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Using the estimates (2.5) and (2.6) in (2.3) and (2.4) respectively, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} (t\|\partial_x A(t)\|^2) \leq 2\mu t \|\partial_x A(t)\|^2 + tl \|A(t)\|^2 \|\partial_x h(t)\|^2, \\ & \frac{d}{dt} (t\|h(t)\|^2) \leq \|h(t)\|^2 + mt \|\partial_x h(t)\|^2 + t \frac{4\alpha^2 l}{\nu} \|A(t)\|^2 \|\partial_x A(t)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the last two inequalities and taking into account the estimates (1.9) and (1.10), we obtain the following regularity inequalities:

$$\|\partial_x A(t)\|^2 + \|h(t)\|^2 \leq M_1(t, \|A_0\|^2 + \|Ph_0\|^2) \quad \forall t > 0, \quad (2.7)$$

where  $M_1(t, s)$  is a continuous function on  $(0, +\infty) \times [0, \infty)$ . Since  $H_0^1(0, l) \hookrightarrow L_2(0, l)$  and  $L_2(0, l) \hookrightarrow H^{-1}(0, l)$  are compact in view of (2.7), the semigroup  $V_t : X_0 \rightarrow X_0$ ,  $t \in R^+$ , generated by the problem (1.1)–(1.4) is a compact semigroup. Thus, by Theorem 2, we obtain the following assertion.

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose that  $\nu\lambda_1 > m$  for  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\nu\lambda_1 - m > \frac{|\alpha|}{k}$  for  $\alpha < 0$ . Then the semigroup  $V_t : X_0 \rightarrow X_0$ ,  $t > 0$ , generated by the problem (1)–(4) has a minimal global attractor  $M_0$  which is connected, compact, and invariant.*

### 3. Periodic Boundary Conditions

In this section, we consider the periodic initial boundary-value problem for Eqs. (A), (H), i.e., the system (A), (H) under the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} A(x, 0) &= A_0(x), & h(x, 0) &= h_0(x), \\ A(x, t) &= A(x + l, t), & h(0, t) &= h(l, t), \quad x \in R, \quad t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating Eq. (H) over  $(0, l)$  with respect to  $x$  and taking into account the periodic boundary conditions, we find

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^l h(x, t) dx = 0.$$

Hence

$$\int_0^l h(x, t) dx = \int_0^l h_0(x) dx.$$

Let us consider the function

$$u(x, t) = h(x, t) - \frac{1}{l} \int_0^l h_0(x) dx.$$

We have

$$\int_0^l u(x, t) dx = 0,$$

and the pair  $[A, u]$  is a solution of the problem

$$A_t - \lambda A - A_{xx} + k|A|^2 A = Au, \quad x \in R, \quad t > 0, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\partial_t u + m\partial_x^2 u + \nu\partial_x^4 u = \alpha\partial_x^2(|A|^2), \quad x \in R, \quad t > 0, \quad (3.2)$$

$$A(x, 0) = A_0(x), \quad u(x, 0) = h_0(x) - h_l, \quad x \in R, \quad (3.3)$$

$$A(x, t) = A(x + l, t), \quad u(x, t) = u(x + l, t), \quad x \in R, \quad t > 0, \quad (3.4)$$

where  $\lambda = \mu + h_l$ ,  $h_l = \frac{1}{l} \int_0^l h_0(x) dx$ .

Multiplying (3.1) by  $A$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|A(t)\|^2 - \lambda \|A(t)\|^2 + \|A_x(t)\|^2 + k \int_0^l |A(x, t)|^4 dx \\ &= \int_0^l |A(x, t)|^2 u(x, t) dx \leq \frac{k}{2} \int_0^l |A(x, t)|^4 dx + \frac{1}{2k} \|u(t)\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|A(t)\|^2 + 2\|\partial_x A(t)\|^2 + k \int_0^l |A(x, t)|^4 dx \leq 2|\lambda| \|A(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{k} \|u(t)\|^2. \quad (3.5)$$

Multiplying (3.2) by  $u$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|^2 - m \|\partial_x u(t)\|^2 + \nu \|\partial_x^2 u(t)\|^2 = \alpha \int_0^l |A(x, t)|^2 \partial_x^2 u(x, t) dx \\ & \leq \frac{\nu}{4} \|\partial_x^2 u(t)\|^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{\nu} \int_0^l |A(x, t)|^4 dx. \end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy inequality and the inequality  $\|\partial_x u\|^2 \leq \|u\| \|\partial_x^2 u\|$ , from the last relation we obtain the estimate

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|^2 + \nu \|\partial_x^2 u(t)\|^2 \leq \frac{2m^2}{\nu} \|u(t)\|^2 + \frac{2\alpha^2}{\nu} \int_0^l |A(x, t)|^4 dx. \quad (3.6)$$

Multiplying (3.6) by  $b = \frac{\nu k}{4\alpha^2}$  and adding to (3.5), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} [b\|u(t)\|^2 + \|A(t)\|^2] + b\nu\|\partial_x^2 u(t)\|^2 + 2\|\partial_x A(t)\|^2 + \frac{k}{2} \int_0^2 |A(x,t)|^4 dx \\ & \leq \left( \frac{2bm^2}{\nu} + \frac{1}{k} \right) \|u(t)\|^2 + 2|\lambda| \|A(t)\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Integrating (3.7) and using the Gronwall inequality, we get

$$b\|u(t)\|^2 + \|A(t)\|^2 \leq [b\|u_0\|^2 + \|A_0\|^2] e^{d_2 t}, \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$d_2 = \max \left\{ \frac{2m^2}{\nu} + \frac{1}{kb}, 2|\lambda| \right\}.$$

From (3.7) and (3.8) it follows that

$$\int_0^t \|\partial_x A(s)\|^2 ds \leq C(t), \quad \int_0^t \|\partial_x^2 u(s)\|^2 ds \leq C(t). \quad (3.9)$$

Using the estimates (3.8) and (3.9), as in the case of the Dirichlet boundary conditions, we obtain the following assertion.

**Theorem 4.** *If  $h_0 \in L_2(0, l)$  and  $A \in L_2(0, l)$ , then the problem (3.1)–(3.4) has a unique solution  $[A, u]$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} A & \in C(0, T; L_2(0, l)) \cap L_2(0, T; H_{\text{per}}^1(0, l)), \\ u & \in C(0, T; L_2(0, l)) \cap L_2(0, T; \dot{H}_{\text{per}}^2(0, l)). \end{aligned}$$

We show that for  $A_0 \in H_{\text{per}}^1(0, l)$  and  $h_0 \in \dot{H}_{\text{per}}^1(0, l)$  we have

$$A \in L_\infty(0, T; H_{\text{per}}^1(0, l)), \quad u \in L_\infty(0, T; \dot{H}_{\text{per}}^1(0, l))$$

(we refer to [7] for the definition of  $H_{\text{per}}^s(0, l)$  and  $\dot{H}_{\text{per}}^s(0, l)$ ).

Indeed, multiplying (3.1) by  $\partial_t A$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_t A(t)\|^2 + \frac{d}{dt} \left[ -\frac{\lambda}{2} \|A(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_x A(t)\|^2 + \frac{k}{4} \int_0^l |A(x,t)|^4 dx \right] \\ & = \int_0^l u(x,t) \langle A(x,t), \partial_t A(x,t) \rangle dx \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

By the interpolation inequality

$$\|u\|_{L_\infty(0, l)} \leq \beta_0 \|u\|^{1/2} \|u\|_{H^1(0, l)}^{1/2}, \quad (\text{A}_0)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_0^l u(x, t) \langle A(x, t), \partial_t A(x, t) \rangle dx \right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty(0, l)}^2 \|A(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_t A(t)\|^2 \\
& \leq \frac{\beta_0}{2} \|u(t)\| \|u(t)\|_{H^1(0, l)} \|A(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_t A(t)\|^2 \\
& \leq \varepsilon_3 \|u(t)\|_{H^1(0, l)}^2 + \frac{\beta_0^2}{16\varepsilon_3} \|u(t)\|^2 \|A(t)\|^4 + \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_t A(t)\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the last inequality, the estimate (3.8), and the inequality

$$\|\partial_x u\|^2 \leq \beta_1 \|\partial_x^2 u\|^2,$$

from (3.10) we find

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \left[ -\frac{\lambda}{2} \|A(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_x A(t)\|^2 + \frac{k}{4} \int_0^l |A(x, t)|^4 dx \right] \\
& \leq -\frac{1}{2} \|\partial_t A(t)\|^2 + \varepsilon_3 \|\partial_x^2 u(t)\|^2 + C(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Taking  $\varepsilon_3 = \nu/2$  in (3.11) and adding to (3.6), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \left[ -\frac{\lambda}{2} \|A(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_x A(t)\|^2 + \frac{k}{4} \int_0^l |A(x, t)|^4 dx + \|u(t)\|^2 \right] \\
& \leq -\frac{1}{2} \|\partial_t A(t)\|^2 - \frac{\nu}{2} \|\partial_x^2 u(t)\|^2 + \frac{2\alpha^2}{\nu} \int_0^l |A(x, t)|^4 dx + C(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

It is easy to see that (3.12) implies the estimates

$$\int_0^t \|\partial_s A(s)\|^2 ds \leq C(t), \|\partial_x A(t)\|^2 \leq C(t). \tag{3.13}$$

Multiplying (3.2) by  $-\partial_x^2 u$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x u(t)\|^2 - m \|\partial_x^2 u(t)\|^2 + \nu \|\partial_x^3 u(t)\|^2 \\
& = 2\alpha \int_0^l \langle A(x, t), \partial_x A(x, t) \rangle \partial_x^3 u(x, t) dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

By the inequality (A<sub>0</sub>) and (3.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2|\alpha| \int_0^l |\langle A(x, t), \partial_x A(x, t) \rangle| |\partial_x^3 u(x, t)| dx \\ & \leq 2|\alpha| \|A(t)\|_{L_\infty(0, l)} \|\partial_x A(t)\| \|\partial_x^3 u(t)\| \leq \frac{\nu}{4} \|\partial_x^3 u(t)\|^2 + C(t). \end{aligned}$$

Using the last inequality and the inequality

$$m \|\partial_x^2 u\|^2 \leq \frac{\nu}{4} \|\partial_x^3 u(t)\|^2 + \frac{m^2}{\nu} \|\partial_x u\|^2,$$

from (3.14) we find

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x u(t)\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \|\partial_x^3 u(t)\|^2 \leq \frac{m^2}{\nu} \|\partial_x u(t)\|^2 + C(t). \quad (3.15)$$

From (3.15) we obtain the estimates

$$\|\partial_x u(t)\| \leq C(t), \quad \int_0^t \|\partial_x^3 u(s)\|^2 ds \leq C(t). \quad (3.16)$$

It is easy to see that if the initial functions are sufficiently smooth, then the corresponding solution is also sufficiently smooth (cf. [8]). Thus, the solution of the problem (3.1)–(3.4) cannot blow-up in a finite time for any real  $m$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\alpha$  and positive  $\nu$ ,  $k$  in spite of the fact that the numerical studies indicate the opposite (cf. [2]). But for  $k \leq 0$  and  $\alpha \neq 0$ , using the concavity method (cf. [9]) or the generalized concavity method (cf. [10]), it is possible to show that there is a large class of initial data such that the corresponding solutions blow-up in a finite time.

## References

1. A. A. Golovin, A. A. Nepomnyashichy, and L. M. Pismen, *Interaction between short-scale Marangoni convection and long-scale deformational instability*, Phys. Fluids **6** (1994), 34–48.
2. D. Kazhdan, L. Shtilman, A. A. Golovin, and L. M. Pismen, *Nonlinear waves and turbulence in Marangoni convection*, Phys. Fluids **7** (1995), 2679–2685.
3. J. Duan, C. Bu, H. Gao, and M. Taboada, *On coupled Kuramoto–Sivashinski and Ginzburg–Landau type model for the Marangony convection*, J. Math. Phys. **38** (1977), no. 5, 2465–2474.
4. H. Gao and J. Duan, *A remark on the coupled Kuramoto–Sivashinsky and Ginzburg–Landau equations*, Commun. Appl. Nonlinear Anal. **5** (1998), no. 1, 83–90.
5. V. K. Kalantarov, *Global solution of coupled Kuramoto–Sivashinsky and Ginzburg–Landau equations*, Trans. Acad. Sci. Azerb., Ser. Phys. Tech. Math. Sci. **20** (2000), 50–54.

6. O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, *Attractors for Semigroups and Evolution Equations*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1991.
7. R. Temam, *Infinite Dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics*, Springer, New York, 1988.
8. O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, V. A. Solonnikov, and N. N. Ural'tseva, *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type*, "Nauka", Moscow, 1967; English transl., Am. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1968.
9. H. A. Levine, *Some nonexistence and instability theorems for formally parabolic equations of the form  $Pu_t = -Au + F(u)$* , Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **511** (1973), 371–386.
10. V. K. Kalantarov and O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, *The occurrence of collapse for quasilinear equations of parabolic and hyperbolic type*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad Otd. Mat. Inst. Steklov **69** (1977), 77–102.

**Varga K. KALANTAROV**

Koç University

Rumelifeneri Yolu

Sariyer 80910 Istanbul,

Turkey

E-mail: [kalantarov@ku.edu.tr](mailto:kalantarov@ku.edu.tr)